Sustainability Theme/ Objectives	Detailed Assessment Criteria	Commentary on Criteria	5. Thurston in Need Charity Land at Heath Road 3.81 hectares overall
Availability	Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?		Yes - This land was put forward under the Thurston Neighbourhood Plan Call for Sites and is currently being considered for future development.
1/Env To preserve and enhance the natural beauty of Thurston in terms of its geology, landform, soils, water systems and climate	Is the site at risk from fluvial flooding?	- Sites in flood zone 1 should be prioritised over sites in flood zone 2 and those over sites in flood zone 3	Site is not at risk of fluvial flooding Site is in Zone 1
	Is the site at risk from surface water flooding?	Is there a high, medium or low risk of surface water flooding on the site?	No
<b>2/Env</b> To protect and enhance the biodiversity of the parish, its wildlife habitats and species.	Will the site impact on priority habitats within the NP area?	This includes deciduous woodland, wood pasture and parkland	No
3/Env To protect the landscape setting of Thurston village through use of land with a low landscape impact and by focusing development on previously developed land	- Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?	- If in open countryside, can the settlement edge be integrated with surrounding rural countryside to minimise impact on the character of the wider countryside (as recommended in 11 Guidance Note Plateau Estate Farmlands Suffolk County Council)? - Does the site fall within a Visually Important Open Space as defined in Saved Policy SB3 of the Local Plan?	The site is adjacent to settlement on one side but would not have a detrimental impact on the landscape as would not encroach on the countryside. The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary on two sides.

	- Is the site greenfield or previously developed land?	Development of brownfield sites is preferable to use of greenfield land.	Greenfield
4/Econ To maximise the potential of existing employment and support the need for new employment opportunities.	- Will the site be capable of sustainable growth should a requirement for expansion be proven?	Would the site allow incremental growth on an as and when basis?	The site has potential for incremental growth providing MUGA or other facilities should part of the land be given over to community use.
	- Will the development provide new employment to serve local needs?	Would the expansion of recreational facilities result in increased localised employment. Would this be supported or undermined through the proposal?	There would be potential for employment opportunities if some use of the land was used to build care facilities as site promoter has previously suggested.
<b>5/Env</b> To protect the identity and local distinctiveness of Thurston as a rural settlement.	- What is the relationship of the site to the settlement?	- Is the site within or on the edge of the settlement? - Does the site border the settlement on 1, 2 or 3 sides? Sites that are surrounded by existing development will be considered more favourably.	The site is on the edge of present settlement boundary and there is no significant density of housing. It is well located close to existing services and easily accessible from the main road network.
	- Does the site impact on the setting of any listed buildings?		There are no listed buildings nearby
	- Does the site have any trees with TPOs that would have to be removed?		No

<b>6/Soc</b> To ensure that the community has a high quality and healthy lifestyle.	- Does the site create the opportunity to provide new community infrastructure and/or green open space in an accessible location for the wider community?	•	The site is reasonably well located for the community to access new community facilities which could include youth shelter or skatepark recreation or POS.
<b>7/Soc</b> To ensure the provision of a range of community facilities that provide for the needs of the community	Is the site capable of providing safe and improved linkages to community facilities?	Will the site provide for improved outdoor recreational facilities - gym trail, gym and football goals/better football goals?	Heath Road is considered reasonably safe for both cyclists and walkers and has the potential to take a pedestrian crossing on the northern side. There would be no severe impact on the highway network and there is safe access to the Community College.
<b>8/Soc</b> To ensure that there is safe movement around the parish and to the facility by a range of modes	- Is the site on a safe cycling route to the main residential areas in village or does it create the opportunity to deliver a new cycle route?'		There could be reasonable safety of movement. The route into the village along Heath Road is reasonably safe, this not being a main road. Once into the village, routes along Barton Road and Station Hill are busier but relatively safer for cyclists. Sustrans Route 51 runs along Heath Road crossing Barton Road up to Norton Road.
	- Will the site impact on any existing footpaths or other public rights of way (PROWs)?		The site will not impact on existing footpaths or PROWS
	- Will the site have the potential to offer limited car parking facilities?		Should the whole of the site be put forward for development then there would be scope for limited car parking facilities.
	- Does the site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on the existing		No
<b>9/Soc</b> To ensure that the community has adequate access to the facility	- Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance of the main residential area of the village?		The site is at the preferred maximum distance from the main residential area of the village

	- Are there safe crossing points and walkways between the main residential areas and the facility?		The route into the village along Heath Road is reasonably safe. Once into the village, routes along Barton Road, Norton Road and Station Hill provide access to other areas of the village. However there is no safe crossing point on Barton Road.
	- Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance from nearest bus stop?		Yes. There is a bus stop & shelter 200m from the site
		allow for a range of activities to be undertaken?	No. The land could be split into two areas one for residential use and the other for recreational facilities without impacting on existing services or residential areas if use was made of public modes of transport and cycle paths and walkways.
10/Soc To ensure that the administrative processes of instigating policies and practical management activities are in place for the completion of the project's long term success	carry out maintenance plans,		The area is owned by a charitable trust who also manages the field by renting this out for arable use. The adjoining recreation ground is rented to the Parish Council who maintain the area as open space amenity with some recreational facilities located on the land.

Overall judgement	This site has been judged	The rationale for such a	This site has the potent
	against the criteria listed	judgement lies with the	housing and recreationa
	above to allow an informed	decision taken by Mid	existing services such a
	judgement to take place on	Suffolk District Council's	Housing would not caus
	the suitability of the area of	Referrals Committee on 1st	services, or residential s
	the site earmarked for a	November 2017 to grant	safety, ease of accessib
	primary school only.	planning permission for 5	development without ar
		sites within Thurston to	present housing occupa
		come forward and that little	
		or no account has been	
		taken of the requirement	
		for additional play facilities	
		for all age groups within	
		the parish.	

This site has the potential to be used for both elderly care housing and recreational use. It is well situated as close to existing services such as bus routes and paths and the housing would not cause a severe impact on either current services, or residential sites. It has relative highway safety, ease of accessibility, security and relative ease of development without any severe impact or disruption to present housing occupants.

## Assessment

Strongly positive	
Slightly positive	
Neutral	
Slightly negative	
Strongly negative	

## Notes

\* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

	Facilities, e.g shops,	Commuting / school	Other
	bus stop.		
Desirable	200m	500m	400m
Acceptable	400m	1000m	800m
Preferred maximum	800m	2000m	1200m

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)