| ustainability Theme/ bjectives Detailed Assessment Criteria Commentary o | | Commentary on Criteria | 10. Allotments site | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | 1.9 hectares | |
| Availability | Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer? | | Yes | |
| 1/Env To preserve and enhance the natural beauty of Thurston in terms of its geology, landform, soils, water systems and climate | Is the site at risk from fluvial flooding? | - Sites in flood zone 1 should be prioritised over sites in flood zone 2 and those over sites in flood zone 3 | Site is not at risk of fluvial flooding. Site is in flood zone 1. | |
| | Is the site at risk from surface water flooding? | Is there a high, medium or low risk of surface water flooding on the site? | No. | |
| 2/Env To protect and enhance the biodiversity of the parish, its wildlife habitats and species. | habitats within the NP area? | This includes deciduous woodland, wood pasture and parkland | No. | |
| 3/Env To protect the landscape setting of Thurston village through use of land with a low landscape impact and by focusing development on previously developed land | - Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape? | - If in open countryside, can the settlement edge be integrated with surrounding rural countryside to minimise impact on the character of the wider countryside (as recommended in 11 Guidance Note Plateau Estate Farmlands Suffolk County Council)? - Does the site fall within a Visually Important Open Space as defined in Saved Policy SB3 of the Local Plan? | | |

| | I_ IC THA CITA ARAANTIAIA AR | Development of brownfield sites is preferable to use of greenfield land. | Greenfield |
|--|---|--|---|
| 4/Econ To maximise the potential of existing employment and support the need for new employment opportunities. | sustainable growth should a | Would the site allow incremental growth on an as and when basis? | The site is constrained by its location so is unlikely to offer incremental growth on an as and when basis. |
| | new employment to serve local needs? | Would the expansion of recreational facilities result in increased localised employment. Would this be supported or undermined through the proposal? | Additional recreational space is unlikely to create significant additional employment other than maintenance and inspection. |
| 5/Env To protect the identity and local distinctiveness of Thurston as a rural settlement. | | - Is the site within or on the edge of the settlement? - Does the site border the settlement on 1, 2 or 3 sides? Sites that are surrounded by existing development will be considered more favourably. | The site overall is adjacent to the edge of the settlement on its west and north sides. These boundaries are not significantly built up. The southern boundary is provided by the railway line. |
| | - Does the site impact on the setting of any listed buildings? | | No |
| | - Does the site have any trees with TPOs that would have to be removed? | | No |
| 6/Soc To ensure that the community has a high quality and healthy lifestyle. | • | - Will the site provide for other facilities to be included, such as a youth shelter with Wi-fi capability, or other amenities, such as a café. | The site has problematic access being on the far eastern side of the village with narrow minor roads leading to it. Car parking space and access would need to be created. In theory it could provide space for further facilities but the location is not ideal. |

| 7/Soc To ensure the provision of a range of community facilities that provide for the needs of the community 8/Soc To ensure that there is safe movement around the parish and to the facility by a range of | Is the site capable of providing safe and improved linkages to community facilities? - Is the site on a safe cycling route to the main residential areas in village or does it create the experturity to deliver a | Will the site provide for improved outdoor recreational facilities - gym trail, gym and football goals/better football goals? | The location does not lend itself to this type of development being on the periphery of the village, with no lighting and in a semi-isolated location. The site does not sit on a safe cycling route to the main residential areas in the village. Cycle and pedestrian routes would be inhibited by the narrow nature of the roads leading to it. |
|---|---|---|---|
| modes | new cycle route?' - Will the site impact on any existing footpaths or other public rights of way (PROWs)? - Will the site have the potential to offer limited car parking | | No Yes |
| | facilities? - Does the site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on the existing highway network? | | Development could lead to congestion on the roads leading to the site. Both Barrells Road and Stoney Lane are small country lanes with little or no potential for additional traffic movement. |
| • | - Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance of the main residential area of the village? | | No - the route to and from the village along Barrells Road, Stoney Lane and School Lane whilst being reasonably safe with relatively low levels of traffic provide no opportunity to create a new cycle route or a pedestrian routeway which will allow safe access for all users of the facility. |
| | - Are there safe crossing points and walkways between the main residential areas and the facility? | | Access to and from the main parts of the village requires crossing of Church Road which does not have a safe crossing point. A significant stretch of the route along Stoney Lane does not have a footway so pedestrians would have to work in the road. |

| | Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance from nearest bus stop? Will the site, by virtue of its location and scale, have an impact on other users of that land? | Is there sufficient space to allow for a range of activities to be undertaken? | The site is within the preferred maximum distance. Some pitches could be accommodated; the neighbouring railway line and housing would require secure boundaries to prevent the egress of balls. Noise and traffic movement would impact on neighbouring properties. |
|---|---|--|---|
| 10/Soc To ensure that the administrative processes of instigating policies and practical management activities are in place for the completion of the project's long term success | - Is there an organisation within the community that can carry out maintenance plans, hours of operation, enclosure gates, signage, supervision plans, life cycle, and any other issues that should be considered to ensure that the project is not just built and then left to the elements. | - Is the site in a suitable location that will allow passive surveillance; emergency vehicle access; lighting and CCTV. | No |
| Overall judgement | | The rationale for such a judgement lies with the decision taken by Mid Suffolk District Council's Referrals Committee on 1st November 2017 to grant planning permission for 5 sites within Thurston to come forward and that little or no account has been taken of the requirement for additional play facilities for all age groups within the parish. | There are detracting features: access, proximity to railway line and residential development, lack of footpaths and cycleways, and car parking. It is a long way from the core of the village. |

| Strongly positive | |
|-------------------|--|
| Slightly positive | |
| Neutral | |
| Slightly negative | |
| Strongly negative | |

Notes

* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

| | Facilities, e.g shops, | Commuting / school | Other |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | bus stop. | | |
| Desirable | 200m | 500m | 400m |
| Acceptable | 400m | 1000m | 800m |
| Preferred maximum | 800m | 2000m | 1200m |

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)