<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability theme/Objectives</th>
<th>Assessment criteria</th>
<th>Commentary on criteria</th>
<th>6. Norton Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/Env</td>
<td>Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding?</td>
<td>Sites where at least 75% of the area is in flood zone 3 should be eliminated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding?</td>
<td>Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/Env</td>
<td>- Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside?</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/Env</td>
<td>- What is the relationship of the site to the settlement?</td>
<td>Sites that are clearly separate from the settlement boundary will be eliminated</td>
<td>The site is adjacent to the settlement boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/Soc</td>
<td>- Does a site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on the existing highway network?</td>
<td>Sites that are likely to have a severe detrimental impact on the highway network should be eliminated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/Soc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance of the main shops and services in the village?

| Overall assessment | Sites that are more than 50% further than the preferred maximum walking distance from shops and services in the village should be eliminated | Yes |

**Notes**

* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities, e.g shops, bus stop</th>
<th>Commuting / school</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>200m</td>
<td>500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>400m</td>
<td>1000m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred maximum</td>
<td>800m</td>
<td>1200m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)*