Accoccmont critoria		2. Fly Field-Bar Close
Assessment criteria	Commentary on criteria	14.81 ha 370-444 dwellings
Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?		Yes
3		No
IWATER TICOGING?		No
- Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?	countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape	Yes. The site is very open and visible and would encroach significantly into the countryside.
the settlement?	the settlement boundary will be	Site is separate from the settlement boundary. This gap could be filled if other sites put forward (sites 5 and 6) were allocated for development.
and scale, have a severe impact on	detrimental impact on the highway	Whilst there is likely to be an impact, this is unlikely to be severe
	forward by the landowner or a developer? Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding? Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding? - Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape? - What is the relationship of the site to the settlement? - Does a site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on	forward by the landowner or a developer? Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding? Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding? Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding? Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape? What is the relationship of the site to the settlement? Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated Sites that are clearly separate from the settlement boundary will be eliminated

adequate access to the key services it needs, including health facilities, convenience shops, and schools	acceptable walking distance of the main shops and services in the	Sites that are more than 50% further than the preferred maximum walking distance from shops and services in the village should be eliminated	Yes
Overall assessment			The site is very open and visible and would encroach significantly into the countryside. It is also separate from the settlement boundary unless development alongside other sites. It is not considered to be a sustainable location for development.

Assessment

Should the Overall Assessment state that there is a fundamental issue preventing sustainable development, this site will not be submitted for detailed assessment and the site will not be considered further.

Notes

* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

	Facilities, e.g shops,	Commuting / school	Other
	bus stop.		
Desirable	200m	500m	400m
Acceptable	400m	1000m	800m
Preferred maximum	800m	2000m	1200m

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)