

## High Level Assessment

Sustainability theme/ Objectives	Assessment criteria	Commentary on criteria	18. East of Thurston - east of Church Road
			19.20 ha
<b>Availability</b>	Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?		No - availability would be need to be established for the site to be formally considered for allocation
<b>1/Env</b> To preserve and enhance the natural beauty of Thurston in terms of its geology, landform, soils, water systems and climate	Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is in flood zone 3 should be eliminated	No
	Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated	No
<b>3/Env</b> To protect the landscape setting of Thurston village through use of land with a low landscape impact and by focusing development on previously developed land	- Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?	Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated	Yes. The site is very open and visible and would encroach significantly into the countryside.
<b>6/Env</b> To protect the identity and local distinctiveness of Thurston as a rural settlement and to enhance the village streetscape.	- What is the relationship of the site to the settlement?	Sites that are clearly separate from the settlement boundary will be eliminated	Site is adjacent to settlement boundary
<b>9/Soc</b> To improve safe movement around the parish and to key service centres outside the parish by a range of modes	- Does a site, by virtue of its location and scale, have a severe impact on the existing highway network?	Sites that are likely to have a severe detrimental impact on the highway network should be eliminated	Yes. Access would have to be on to Stoney Lane which is a small road where access past parked vehicles would have to be in single file. Any significant scale of development would have a severe detrimental impact on the highway network.
<b>10/Soc</b> To ensure that the community has			

adequate access to the key services it needs, including health facilities, convenience shops, and schools	- Is the site within a desirable or acceptable walking distance of the main shops and services in the village?	Sites that are more than 50% further than the preferred maximum walking distance from shops and services in the village should be eliminated	Yes
<b>Overall assessment</b>			At present the site is unavailable and will not be taken further.

**Assessment**

Should the Overall Assessment state that there is a fundamental issue preventing sustainable development, this site will not be submitted for detailed assessment and the site will not be considered further.

**Notes**

\* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

	Facilities, e.g shops, bus stop.	Commuting / school	Other
Desirable	200m	500m	400m
Acceptable	400m	1000m	800m
Preferred maximum	800m	2000m	1200m

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)