High Level Assessment

	Assessment criteria	Commentary on criteria	14. South of Thurston - north of Thurston Road/Pepper Lane, south of railway line
Sustainability theme/ Objectives			27.16 ha
Availability	Is the site available/has it been put forward by the landowner or a developer?		No - availability would be need to be established for the site to be formally considered for allocation
1/Env To preserve and enhance the natural beauty of Thurston in terms of its geology, landform, soils, water systems and climate	Is the site at high risk from fluvial flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is in flood zone 3 should be eliminated	No
	Is the site at high risk from surface water flooding?	Sites where at least 75% of the area is at high risk of surface water flooding should be eliminated	No
3/Env To protect the landscape setting of Thurston village through use of land with a low landscape impact and by focusing development on previously developed land	- Is the site in open countryside/will it encroach unacceptably on open countryside? - Will the site have a detrimental impact on the landscape?	Sites that clearly sit in open countryside and are likely to have a detrimental impact on the landscape should be eliminated	No. The site is screened on all sides by development, the railway line and a mature tree belt.
6/Env To protect the identity and local distinctiveness of Thurston as a rural settlement and to enhance the village streetscape.	- What is the relationship of the site to the settlement?	Sites that are clearly separate from the settlement boundary will be eliminated	Site is adjacent to settlement boundary

9/Soc	- Does a site, by virtue of its location	Sites that are likely to have a severe	Access would have to be onto
To improve safe movement around	and scale, have a severe impact on	detrimental impact on the highway	Thurston Road. However, depending
the parish and to key service centres	the existing highway network?	network should be eliminated	on the scale of development, this
outside the parish by a range of			would be unlikely to have a severe
modes			detrimental impact on the highway
			network.
10/Soc			
To ensure that the community has			
adequate access to the key services it	- Is the site within a desirable or	Sites that are more than 50% further	Yes
needs, including health facilities,	acceptable walking distance of the	than the preferred maximum walking	
convenience shops, and schools	main shops and services in the	distance from shops and services in	
• •	village?	the village should be eliminated	
-			
Overall assessment			At present the site is unavailable and
			will not be taken further.

Assessment

Should the Overall Assessment state that there is a fundamental issue preventing sustainable development, this site will not be submitted for detailed assessment and the site will not be considered further.

Notes

* This is based on the following guidance provided by the Institute of Highways and Transportation:

	Facilities, e.g shops,	Commuting / school	Other		
	bus stop.				
Desirable	200m	500m	400m		
Acceptable	400m	1000m	800m		
Preferred maximum	800m	2000m	1200m		

Source: Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (IHT 2000)